

The Honorable Thomas S. Zilly

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOES 1-20, d/b/a, ANXCHIP.COM,  
AXIOGAME.COM, FLASHCARDA.COM,  
MOD3DSCARDS.COM, NX-CARD.COM,  
SXFLASHCARD.COM, TXSWITCH.COM,  
and USACHIPSS.COM,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00738-TSZ

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ENTRY  
OF A PERMANENT INJUNCTION

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
September 4, 2020

1 **I. Introduction.**

2  
3 This Court entered default against all Defendants on August 19, 2020. Clerk’s Order of  
4 Default, Dkt. 24. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b)(2) and Local Civil Rule  
5 55(b), Plaintiff Nintendo of America Inc. (“Nintendo”) now moves this Court to enter default  
6 judgment against Defendants Does 1–20, d/b/a ANXCHIP.COM, AXIOGAME.COM,  
7 FLASHCARDA.COM, MOD3DSCARDS.COM, NX-CARD.COM, SXFLASHCARD.COM,  
8 TXSWITCH.COM, and USACHIPSS.COM.<sup>1</sup> As explained below, Nintendo is also entitled to a  
9 permanent injunction restraining Defendants from further violations. Nintendo respectfully  
10 requests that this Court grant that relief.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 **II. Pertinent Background.**<sup>2</sup>

17 **A. Nintendo and Its Copyrighted Works.**

18  
19 Nintendo is a company and brand famous throughout the United States and the world,  
20 known by consumers of all ages for its fun and innovative video games, video game consoles—  
21 including the best-selling Nintendo Switch—and its beloved video game characters. Compl. ¶¶  
22 41, 43. The popularity of Nintendo’s video games and video game consoles has made Nintendo  
23 the target of intellectual property pirates who benefit from Nintendo’s innovation and investment  
24 by making unauthorized copies of Nintendo video games, or by creating or selling devices that  
25 circumvent technological protection measures on Nintendo consoles so that others can copy,  
26 distribute, and play pirated copies of Nintendo video games. *Id.* ¶ 46.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

---

37 <sup>1</sup> Following the initiation of this suit, the operators of five of the websites changed the domain name they  
38 operated under. *See* Mot. for Leave to Serve Process By Alternate Means, Dkt. No. 15 at 2 n.2. Specifically,  
39 ANXCHIP.COM became LOWBR.COM, FLASHCARDA.COM became MATERPL.COM,  
40 MOD3DSCARDS.COM became BRUJOON.COM, and NXCARD.COM became AGRESU.COM. Declaration of  
41 Alison I. Stein (“Stein Decl.”), September 4, 2020, at ¶ 4. Reference to Defendants or the domain names/URLs in  
42 the caption above should be read to encompass both the original URLs and any and all new URLs.

43 <sup>2</sup> On a motion for default judgment, the Court must accept the factual allegations in the complaint as true, except  
44 for facts related to the amount of damages, which must be proved. *See TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d  
45 915, 917–18 (9th Cir. 1987) (per curiam); *Ferrari Fin. Servs., Inc. v. Biggs*, No. 3:19-CV-05873-RBL, 2020 WL  
3971342, at \*1 (W.D. Wash. July 14, 2020).

1 Nintendo has taken many steps and expended enormous resources to halt the illegal  
2 copying, marketing, sale, and distribution of Nintendo video games, including the  
3 implementation of technological protection measures in Nintendo Switch consoles that prevent  
4 unauthorized access to, and copying of, Nintendo’s copyrighted works (the “Technological  
5 Measures”). *Id.* ¶¶ 47–48. These measures also prevent the unauthorized play of pirated games  
6 on Nintendo’s video game consoles. *Id.* In addition to the Technological Measures related to the  
7 consoles and the operating system, Nintendo Switch consoles also contain additional measures  
8 that verify each game played on the Nintendo Switch (the “Game TPMs”). *Id.* ¶ 55.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 **B. Defendants’ Widespread Distribution of Circumvention Devices.**

16 1. Circumvention Devices and the SX OS.

17 On or about May 16, 2018, Team Xecuter—an anonymous collection of hackers who  
18 develop devices and methods to circumvent Nintendo’s Technological Measures—publicly  
19 announced its creation of the SX OS, an unauthorized operating system that can be installed only  
20 on the Nintendo Switch. Compl. ¶¶ 3, 59. To run the SX OS on the Nintendo Switch, Team  
21 Xecuter offers devices that directly circumvent Nintendo’s Technological Measures, which  
22 control access to the console and to Nintendo’s copyrighted works, as well as prevent copying of  
23 those works (the “Circumvention Devices”). *Id.* ¶ 59. Once running, the SX OS continues to  
24 circumvent Game TPMs, thereby allowing users to play, copy, and share pirated games, and  
25 otherwise operate and manipulate the console without detection, harming Nintendo’s business  
26 and revenues from its copyrighted works. *Id.* Defendants have trafficked in these  
27 Circumvention Devices in the United States and in this District. *Id.* ¶¶ 26, 35.

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 *First*, Defendants have offered for sale Team Xecuter’s SX Pro Circumvention Device, a  
38 small piece of hardware (or “dongle”) that can be inserted into the USB port of the Nintendo  
39 Switch. *Id.* ¶ 60. Once inserted, the Nintendo Switch’s Technological Measures are overridden  
40 and circumvented, and the Nintendo Switch is booted (*i.e.*, started up), with the unauthorized  
41 operating system SX OS from an inserted memory card. *Id.*

1           *Second*, Defendants have offered to the public Team Xecuter’s recently-announced  
2  
3 Circumvention Devices, the SX Core and SX Lite. *Id.* ¶ 64. Images on Team Xecuter’s website  
4 show that the device is a hardware chip—or “modchip”—which is installed inside the Nintendo  
5 Switch after breaking open the casing. *Id.* Defendants have taken preorders and processed  
6 payments for the SX Core and SX Lite. *Id.* ¶ 70. The SX Pro, SX Core, and SX Lite circumvent  
7  
8 Nintendo’s Technological Measures, because otherwise the unauthorized SX OS could not be  
9 run on the consoles. *Id.* ¶ 69.

10  
11  
12  
13           2.       Defendants’ Encouragement and Facilitation of Rampant Piracy.

14  
15           In addition to the circumvention of Technological Measures, the SX OS—installable with  
16 the Circumvention Devices trafficked by Defendants—also circumvents certain Game TPMs,  
17 allowing hackers to make, copy, play, and further distribute unauthorized copies of Nintendo and  
18 its licensees’ copyrighted video games, all without detection by Nintendo. *Id.* ¶ 71. Using the  
19 SX OS, users can find an illegal game file online and transfer it to a Nintendo Switch. *Id.* ¶ 72.  
20 The SX OS allows these illegal game copies to run locally, even though Nintendo’s official  
21 operating system would not allow a user to run such illegal and unauthorized copies. *Id.*  
22  
23 Nintendo has not authorized users to download or play *any* games on the Nintendo Switch other  
24 than those purchased lawfully from Nintendo. *Id.* ¶ 74. The sale and distribution of such pirated  
25 games causes direct harm to, and financial loss for, Nintendo. *Id.* ¶ 75.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32           3.       Defendants’ Infringement of Nintendo’s Copyrighted Works by Direct  
33                   Sale of Nintendo’s Games.

34  
35           Five of Defendants’ Websites—ANXCHIP.COM, FLASHCARDA.COM,  
36 MOD3DSCARDS.COM, NX-CARD.COM, and USACHIPSS.COM—have also sold memory  
37 cards preloaded with pirated, and thus infringing, copyrighted games for the Nintendo Switch.  
38  
39 *Id.* ¶ 78. As set forth in Appendix A to the Complaint, Defendants have infringed at least 14  
40 works in which Nintendo owns or exclusively controls the copyrights. *Id.* ¶ 83 & App’x A.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1           **C.       Procedural History.**

2  
3           Nintendo filed its complaint against Defendants on May 15, 2020. Because Defendants  
4 took steps to conceal their actual identities and real-world locations, this Court authorized  
5 Nintendo to serve Defendants electronically. Order Granting Pl.’s Mot. for Leave to Serve  
6 Process by Alternative Means, Dkt. 20. Nintendo executed electronic service on July 16 and  
7 filed a declaration attesting to same. Decl. of Alison Stein, Dkt. 21. Defendants’ deadline to  
8 respond to Nintendo’s complaint was August 6. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(i). After  
9 Defendants failed to respond, Nintendo filed a motion seeking the entry of Defendants’ default.  
10 Mot. for Default, Dkt. 22. The Clerk entered default on August 19. Clerk’s Order of Default,  
11 Dkt. 24.

12           **III.     Nintendo Has Established Defendants’ Liability.**

13           Nintendo asserted three Claims for Relief: trafficking in devices in violation of the  
14 Digital Millennium Copyright Act’s anti-trafficking provisions against all Defendants (Claims  
15 for Relief 1 and 2); and violation of Nintendo’s reproduction and distribution rights under the  
16 Copyright Act against Defendants doing business as ANXCHIP.COM, FLASHCARDA.COM,  
17 MOD3DSCARDS.COM, NX-CARD.COM, and USACHIPSS.COM (collectively “Claim 3  
18 Defendants”) (Claim for Relief 3). By their failure to respond, Defendants have admitted the  
19 factual allegations in Nintendo’s complaint. *See Ferrari Fin. Servs.*, 2020 WL 3971342, at \*1  
20 (“Upon entry of default, this Court takes as true the factual allegations in the non-defaulting  
21 party’s complaint, except those related to the amount of damages.”) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P.  
22 8(b)(6); *TeleVideo Sys.*, 826 F.2d at 917–18).

23           **A.       Nintendo Has Established Defendants’ Liability Under the DMCA.**

24           Section 1201(a)(2) of the DMCA prohibits Defendants from trafficking in devices that  
25 are primarily designed to circumvent technological measures that effectively control access to  
26 copyrighted works. 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(2).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1 The statute provides, in pertinent part, that “[n]o person shall . . . offer to the public . . .  
2 any technology, product, service, device, component, or part thereof, that” is “primarily  
3 designed” for circumvention, “has only limited commercially significant purpose or use” apart  
4 from circumvention, or “is marketed by that person or another acting in concert with that person  
5 with that person’s knowledge for use” in circumvention. *Id.* § 1201(a)(2)(A)–(C). Similarly,  
6 Section 1201(b)(1) of the DMCA prohibits Defendants from engaging in the same conduct with  
7 regard to devices that “circumvent protection afforded by a technological measure.” *See id.*  
8 §§ 1201(b)(1) & (b)(2)(A). Those two anti-trafficking provisions form the bases for Claims for  
9 Relief 1 and 2 against Defendants.  
10

11 As set forth above and in Nintendo’s Complaint, Nintendo’s Technological Measures  
12 effectively control access to works protected by the Copyright Act, including the copyrighted  
13 operating system of the Nintendo Switch as well as Nintendo’s video games playable on the  
14 Nintendo Switch. Compl. ¶¶ 49–58, 98–99, 108–09. Those Technological Measures require, in  
15 the ordinary course of their operation, the application of information, or a process or a treatment,  
16 with Nintendo’s authority, to gain access to the Nintendo Switch console and any copyrighted  
17 games playable on the console. *Id.* ¶ 58. Team Xecuter’s SX Pro, SX Core, and SX Lite are  
18 classic anti-circumvention devices—they override Nintendo’s Technological Measures to install  
19 the SX OS and enable the playing of pirated games on the Nintendo Switch. *Id.* ¶¶ 59–77, 100–  
20 01, 110–11. By “offer[ing]” these Circumvention Devices for sale “to the public,” Defendants  
21 violated the DMCA. *Id.* ¶¶ 70–77, 85–95, 100–01, 110–11.  
22

23 **B. Nintendo Has Established That the Claim 3 Defendants Are Liable for**  
24 **Copyright Infringement.**  
25

26 To establish copyright infringement, Nintendo must prove two elements: “(1) ownership  
27 of a valid copyright, and (2) copying of constituent elements of the work that are original.” *L.A.*  
28 *Printex Indus., Inc. v. Aeropostale, Inc.*, 676 F.3d 841, 846 (9th Cir. 2012) (quoting *Feist*  
29 *Publ’ns, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991)).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1 Nintendo has alleged and demonstrated its ownership of valid and registered copyrights  
2 in the 14 Nintendo video games listed in Appendix A to Nintendo’s Complaint. Compl. ¶ 83 &  
3 App’x A. Registration “is considered prima facie evidence of the validity of the  
4 copyright.” *Syntek Semiconductor Co., Ltd. v. Microchip Tech. Inc.*, 307 F.3d 775, 781 (9th Cir.  
5 2002); *see also* 17 U.S.C. § 410(c). As such, Nintendo has established the first element of its  
6 infringement claim.  
7  
8  
9  
10

11 Nintendo has also adequately alleged that each of the Claim 3 Defendants copied and  
12 distributed its registered works by selling memory cards preloaded with pirated, and thus  
13 infringing, copies of copyrighted games for the Nintendo Switch. Compl. ¶ 78. That allegation  
14 is deemed true for the purposes of establishing the Claim 3 Defendants’ liability. *See Criminal*  
15 *Prods., Inc. v. Gunderman*, No. C16-1016-RAJ, 2017 WL 664047, at \*2 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 17,  
16 2017) (granting a default judgment against copyright defendants who did not contest the copying  
17 allegations in the complaint) (citing *Derek Andrew, Inc. v. Poof Apparel Corp.*, 528 F.3d 696,  
18 702 (9th Cir. 2008)). As such, Nintendo has satisfied the second element and established the  
19 Claim 3 Defendants’ liability for copyright infringement.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

#### 27 **IV. This Court Should Enter Default Judgment Against Defendants.**

28 “Obtaining a default judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 is a two-step  
29 process.” *Ferrari Fin. Servs.*, 2020 WL 3971342, at \*1 (citing *Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470,  
30 1471 (9th Cir. 1986)). *First*, a party must obtain a Clerk’s entry of default, pursuant to Rule  
31 55(a). *Id.* *Second*, the non-defaulting party must either request that the Clerk enter default  
32 judgment when the claim is for “a sum certain or a sum that can be made certain by  
33 computation,” Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(1), or, “[i]n all other cases, the party must apply to the court  
34 for a default judgment,” *id.* 55(b)(2). Nintendo has obtained a Clerk’s entry of default, *see*  
35 Clerk’s Order of Default, Dkt. 24, and now applies to this Court for a default judgment against  
36 Defendants.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1 As an initial matter, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Defendants  
2 transacted business in the State of Washington and in this District and have specifically  
3 marketed, offered to the public, and otherwise trafficked in Circumvention Devices in the United  
4 States and in this District. Compl. ¶¶ 25–29. Moreover, Nintendo is located in this District. *Id.*  
5 ¶ 28; *see Third Estate LLC v. Cultivation, Ltd.*, No. CV1405125MWFJPRX, 2015 WL  
6 12426153, at \*5–6 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2015) (concluding that the court had personal jurisdiction  
7 over a defendant because it operated an interactive website that made sales in the forum state and  
8 because the defendant knew or should have known the plaintiff was based in the state, and  
9 granting a default judgment).

10 Courts in this Circuit consider multiple factors in determining whether to grant a default  
11 judgment: (1) the possibility of prejudice to the plaintiff; (2) the merits of the plaintiff’s  
12 substantive claims; (3) the sufficiency of the complaint; (4) the sum of money at stake in the  
13 action; (5) the possibility of a dispute concerning material facts; (6) whether the default was due  
14 to excusable neglect; and (7) the policy underlying the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure favoring  
15 decisions on the merits. *Eitel*, 782 F.2d at 1471–72; *see also Ferrari Fin. Servs.*, 2020 WL  
16 3971342, at \*2–3 (applying the *Eitel* factors and granting a default judgment).

17 While the final *Eitel* factor always weighs against default judgment, *see Ferrari Fin.*  
18 *Servs.*, 2020 WL 3971342, at \*3, all of the other factors weigh in favor of entering a default  
19 judgment against Defendants here. We walk through each in turn.

20 As to the first *Eitel* factor—prejudice to the plaintiff—Nintendo will be heavily  
21 prejudiced without entry of default judgment because it will have no remedy against Defendants.  
22 *See Criminal Prods.*, 2017 WL 664047, at \*2 (“Without entry of default judgment, Plaintiff will  
23 be prejudiced because it will be left without a proper remedy.”) (citing *Landstar Ranger, Inc. v.*  
24 *Parth Enters., Inc.*, 725 F. Supp. 2d 916, 920 (C.D. Cal. 2010)). The second and third *Eitel*  
25 factors—which address the strength of Nintendo’s substantive claims and the sufficiency of its  
26 Complaint—also weigh heavily in favor of entering a default judgment. As discussed in Section  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45



1 III, *supra*, Nintendo has proven each of the claims for relief alleged in the complaint. *See*  
2 *Criminal Prods.*, 2017 WL 664047, at \*2. The fourth *Eitel* factor—the amount of money at  
3 issue—also weighs in favor of entering a default judgment because Nintendo is not seeking  
4 monetary relief. *See id.* at \*2 (concluding that the fourth factor favored a default judgment  
5 because the amount at stake in the case was “relatively modest”).  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 As for the fifth *Eitel* factor—the potential for material factual disputes—any concern  
11 about that possibility is outweighed by the fact that Defendants have failed to take advantage of  
12 the “opportunity to contest the allegations” by failing to answer or respond to the complaint. *Id.*  
13 at \*3. With respect to the sixth *Eitel* factor—the potential that the default is the result of  
14 excusable neglect—Defendants were served with the summons and complaint, and took actions  
15 indicating that they were aware of the claims against them, such as beginning to operate under  
16 new websites (or “domain-hopping”), *see* Mot. for Leave to Serve Process by Alternate Means,  
17 Dkt. 15 at 2 n.2, and communicating with their customers about the lawsuit. Stein Decl. ¶ 4. In  
18 addition, the lawsuit was widely covered in the media. *Id.* ¶ 3. Despite the fact that Defendants  
19 were served with the Complaint and Summons pursuant to a court order, and despite the  
20 evidence that Defendants are aware of the lawsuit, they did not file an answer or otherwise make  
21 an appearance in the lawsuit. *See* Mot. for Leave to Serve Process by Alternate Means, Dkt. 15  
22 at 14–15; *see also* Stein Decl. ¶ 7; *Criminal Prods.*, 2017 WL 664047, at \*2 (concluding that the  
23 defendants “likely had ample notice of the action” when properly served); *Ferrari Fin. Servs.*,  
24 2020 WL 3971342, at \*2 (the sixth factor weighed in favor of a default judgment because the  
25 plaintiff “demonstrated proper service . . . and there is no evidence that his failure to respond to  
26 the Complaint is the result of excusable neglect”).  
27  
28  
29

30  
31  
32 Finally, the seventh *Eitel* factor—the policy preference for resolution of cases on their  
33 merits—always weighs against default. *Ferrari Fin. Servs.*, 2020 WL 3971342, at \*3. However,  
34 despite the fact that a “decision on the merits is desirable,” “Defendant[s] ha[ve] simply failed to  
35 file a responsive pleading, appear, or otherwise answer the complaint,” so “[u]nder these  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1 circumstances, there would be nothing left to litigate if the default has been entered, but no  
2 default judgment in favor of [Nintendo] was entered.” *Id.*

3  
4 The *Eitel* factors strongly favor a default judgment. This Court should grant it.

5  
6 **IV. Nintendo Is Entitled to a Permanent Injunction.**

7  
8 In its Complaint, Nintendo seeks a permanent injunction against Defendants that: (1)  
9 restrains Defendants from further violations of the Copyright Act; (2) requires Defendants to  
10 surrender and cease operation of their domain names, and to transfer those domain names to  
11 Nintendo’s control; (3) enjoins Defendants and all third parties acting in concert with Defendants  
12 from supporting or facilitating access to any or all domain names, URLs, or websites through  
13 which Defendants violate Nintendo’s rights; (4) provides for the seizure, impoundment, and  
14 destruction of devices that circumvent technical protections for Nintendo’s copyrights; and (5)  
15 requires Defendants, within thirty days after service of judgment, to file with the Court and serve  
16 on Nintendo a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner in which they complied  
17 with the preceding provisions. Compl., Prayer for Relief ¶¶ 2–5.

18  
19 Section 502(a) of the Copyright Act authorizes the Court to grant “final injunctions on  
20 such terms as it may deem reasonable to prevent or restrain infringement of a copyright.” 17  
21 U.S.C. § 502(a). Additionally, Sections 1203(b)(1) and (b)(2) of the DMCA authorize the Court  
22 to “grant temporary and permanent injunctions on such terms as it deems reasonable to prevent  
23 or restrain a violation,” and to “order the impounding, on such terms as it deems reasonable, of  
24 any device or product that is in the custody or control of the alleged violator and that the court  
25 has reasonable cause to believe was involved in a violation.” 17 U.S.C. §§ 1203(b)(1)–(2).  
26 Moreover, a court may enter a default judgment for equitable relief. *Criminal Prods.*, 2017 WL  
27 664047, at \*3. In short, the injunction sought by Nintendo is expressly permitted by multiple  
28 provisions of the law and is necessary to prevent Defendants from continuing to violate the law.

29  
30 In order to obtain a permanent injunction, a party must show, in addition to success or  
31 likelihood of success on the merits: (1) irreparable harm; (2) lack of adequate remedies at law;  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1 (3) that the balance of hardships weighs in its favor; and (4) that the injunction is in the public's  
2 interest. *Criminal Prods.*, 2017 WL 664047, at \*3 (citing *eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC*, 547  
3 U.S. 388, 393–94 (2006)).  
4  
5

6 As an initial matter, as described above, *see supra* Section III, Nintendo has succeeded on  
7 the merits by virtue of Defendants' default. *Kleven v. Hereford*, No. CV1302783ABAGR, X,  
8 2016 WL 4424964, at \*16 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 18, 2016) ("Plaintiffs have achieved 'actual success  
9 on the merits' because . . . Defendants have admitted liability through their default and the Court  
10 has determined that default judgment is warranted.>").  
11  
12  
13  
14

15 In addition, Nintendo will suffer irreparable harm unless an injunction is issued. *See*  
16 Compl. ¶¶ 18–22, 105, 115, 124. In general, a copyright owner who demonstrates past  
17 infringement and a substantial likelihood of future infringement is entitled to a permanent  
18 injunction. *See Bridgeport Music, Inc. v. Justin Combs Publ'g*, 507 F.3d 470, 492 (6th Cir.  
19 2007) ("It is uncontroversial that a showing of past infringement and a substantial likelihood of  
20 future infringement justifies issuance of a permanent injunction."); *Walt Disney Co. v. Powell*,  
21 897 F.2d 565, 568 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (upholding permanent injunction where it was found to be  
22 "not unlikely" that defendant would infringe plaintiff's copyrights in future, despite defendant's  
23 representations that it had ceased infringement). As alleged in the well-pleaded Complaint,  
24 Defendants have trafficked in devices that circumvent Nintendo's Technological Measures and  
25 infringed Nintendo's copyrights. These allegations are now deemed admitted on Defendants'  
26 default. *Hereford*, 2016 WL 4424964, at \*16. Moreover, Defendants have evaded service and  
27 otherwise refused to participate in this lawsuit, further underscoring that they are not to be  
28 trusted to comply with the law on their own.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 *Second*, an award of monetary damages is insufficient to protect against Defendants'  
40 likely future infringement. *Warner Bros. Entm't Inc. v. RDR Books*, 575 F. Supp. 2d 513, 553  
41 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) (finding money damages alone are not an adequate remedy in view of  
42 irreparable harm from continued infringement). Indeed, Nintendo does not seek an award of  
43  
44  
45

1 monetary damages, and such an award likely would be ineffective here, as Defendants have  
2 refused to appear or participate in this lawsuit, despite the fact that they are indisputably aware of  
3 its existence. *See, e.g., NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina*, 699 F.3d 246, 262 (2d Cir.  
4 2012) (determining that “damages are an ineffective remedy” because defendant “will simply  
5 refuse to pay any judgment”).  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 *Third*, the threatened injury to Nintendo outweighs whatever harm—if any—Defendants  
11 would experience from an injunction. Defendants have no legitimate purpose in trafficking in  
12 illegal circumvention devices. The requested injunction would simply require Defendants to  
13 comply with the law by preventing Defendants from trafficking in devices that circumvent  
14 Nintendo’s Technological Measures and from infringing Nintendo’s copyrights. *WPIX, Inc. v.*  
15 *ivi, Inc.*, 691 F.3d 275, 287 (2d Cir. 2012) (“[A]n infringer of copyright cannot complain about  
16 the loss of ability to offer its infringing product.”); *Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios, Inc. v.*  
17 *Grokster, Ltd.*, 518 F. Supp. 2d 1197, 1220–22 (C.D. Cal. 2007) (balance of hardships weighed  
18 in plaintiff’s favor where defendant had no “legitimate business purpose whatsoever” and could  
19 immediately return to its prior ways after the motion for a permanent injunction is denied”).  
20  
21

22 *Finally*, the requested injunction would serve the public interest. “[T]he public receives a  
23 benefit when the legitimate rights of copyright holders are vindicated.” *Apple Inc. v. Psystar*  
24 *Corp.*, 673 F. Supp. 2d 943, 950 (N.D. Cal. 2009), *aff’d*, 658 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2011); *see also*  
25 *Disney Enters., Inc. v. VidAngel, Inc.*, 869 F.3d 848, 867 (9th Cir. 2017) (affirming the district  
26 court’s conclusion that an injunction in a case brought under the DMCA and Copyright Act was  
27 in the public interest). As such, the requested permanent injunction is warranted in this case, and  
28 Nintendo respectfully requests that it be entered against Defendants.  
29

### 30 **V. Conclusion.**

31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1 DATED this 4th day of September, 2020.  
2

3 Respectfully submitted,  
4

5 **GORDON TILDEN THOMAS &**  
6 **CORDELL LLP**  
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Nintendo of America Inc.  
8

9 s/ Michael Rosenberger

10 Michael Rosenberger, WSBA #17730  
11 Michael Brown, WSBA #45618  
12 600 University Street, Suite 2915  
13 Seattle, WA 98101  
14 Telephone: 206.467.6477  
15 mrosenberger@gordontilden.com  
16 mbrown@gordontilden.com  
17

Respectfully submitted,

**JENNER & BLOCK LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Nintendo of America Inc.

9 s/ Alison I. Stein

Alison I. Stein (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Cayman C. Mitchell (*Pro Hac Vice*)\*  
919 Third Avenue, 38th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: 212.891.1600  
astein@jenner.com  
cmitchell@jenner.com

Christopher S. Lindsay (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
633 West 5th Street, Suite 3600  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.239.5100  
clindsay@jenner.com

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  

\*Admitted only in Massachusetts, not admitted  
in New York. Practicing under the supervision  
of the partnership of Jenner & Block, LLP