





| | Case 2:17-cv-00988-TSZ Docume | ent 27 Filed 11/(| 03/17 Page 3 of 5 | | | |
|----|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | VENICE DI LI C | | | | | |
| 2 | VENICE PI, LLC, Plaintiff, | | | | | |
| | v. | C17-1211 TS | Z | | | |
| 3 | JESSE COOPER, et al., | | | | | |
| 4 | Defendants. | | | | | |
| 5 | VENICE PI, LLC, | | | | | |
| 6 | Plaintiff, | C17-1219 TS | | | | |
| 7 | v. | C17-1219 15 | | | | |
| - | JASMINE PATERSON, et al., | | | | | |
| 8 | Defendants. | | | | | |
| 9 | VENICE PI, LLC, | | | | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | C17-1403 TS | Z | | | |
| 11 | v. DAVID MEINERT, et al., | MINUTE OF | RDER | | | |
| 12 | DAVID MERVERT, et al., Defendants. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 13 | The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable | | | | | |
| 14 | Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge | | | | | |
| 15 | (1) The deadlines for a Rule 26(f) conference, exchange of initial disclosures, and submission of a Joint Status Report are STRICKEN. Plaintiff's motions for | | | | | |
| 16 | extension of these initial scheduling deadlines, docket no. 17 in C17-988, docket no. 27 | | | | | |
| 17 | 1075, and docket no. 17 in C17-1076, are STRICKEN as moot. | | | | | |
| 18 | (2) Plaintiff's motions for extension of time to serve, docket no. 15 in C17-1160, docket no. 20 in C17-1163, docket no. 14 in C17-1164, docket no. 18 in C17-1211, and docket no. 16 in C17-1219, are GRANTED as follows. The deadlines for | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 19 | plaintiff to effect service are EXTENDED as | follows: | | | | |
| 20 | <u>Case No.</u> <u>Current Dead</u> | <u>dline</u> | <u>New Deadline</u> | | | |
| 21 | C17-1160 October 29, 20 | | November 20, 2017 | | | |
| 22 | C17-1163 October 29, 20 C17-1164 October 29, 20 | | November 20, 2017 November 20, 2017 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| | MINUTE ORDER - 3 | | | | | |

| C17-1211 | November 8, 2017 | November 20, 2017 |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|
| C17-1219 | November 9, 2017 | November 20, 2017 |

2

1

No further extension will be granted.¹

3 In two different cases, Nos. C17-990 TSZ and C17-1075, plaintiff sued the (3)same, now deceased, defendant, namely Wilbur Miller. Mr. Miller's widow submitted a 4 declaration indicating that, for about five years prior to his death at the age of 91, Mr. Miller suffered from dementia and was both mentally and physically incapable of 5 operating a computer. See R. Miller Decl. (docket no. 30 in C17-990). The fact that Mr. Miller's Internet Protocol ("IP") address was nevertheless identified as part of two 6 different BitTorrent "swarms" raises significant doubts about the accuracy of whatever IP-address tracking method plaintiff is using. Moreover, plaintiff may not, based solely 7 on IP addresses, launch a fishing expedition aimed at coercing individuals into either admitting to copyright infringement or pointing a finger at family members, friends, 8 tenants, or neighbors. Plaintiff must demonstrate the plausibility of its claims before discovery will be permitted. See Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007); see 9 also Fed. R. Civ. P. 11. Discovery includes discussions between plaintiff's counsel and unrepresented defendants concerning the merits of, or the events giving rise to, plaintiff's 10 claims, and such conversations are prohibited until after a Rule 26(f) conference occurs. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1). Because plaintiff's counsel has admittedly not abided by the 11 restrictions on discovery, see Pla.'s Resp. (docket no. 76 in C15-1430 TSZ), plaintiff's counsel is DIRECTED not to communicate with any unrepresented defendant in 12 any manner for any purpose until further order of the Court. Within twenty-eight (28) days of the date of this Minute Order, plaintiff shall file an offer of proof, which may 13 be captioned in the same manner as this Minute Order and filed in each case. Such offer of proof shall be supported by the declaration of an expert in the field, setting forth such 14 expert's qualifications, and shall address the following issues: (i) whether and, if so, how an IP address can be either "spoofed" to or faked by a BitTorrent tracker, and what is the 15 likelihood (quantified if possible) that each defendant's IP address was a false positive; (ii) whether and, if so, how plaintiff can prove that the material allegedly tracked to each 16 defendant's IP address was a "playable" and actionable segment of the copyrighted work at issue; and (iii) what evidence, if any, can plaintiff currently present, beyond mere 17 association with an IP address, that each defendant engaged in the alleged copyright

- 18
- 19

20 ¹ Plaintiff's counsel was previously advised that the Court will not grant extensions of the time to effect service so that plaintiff's counsel can distribute threatening letters to defendants in advance of naming them in an operative pleading. <u>See</u> Minute Order (docket no. 20 in C17-1074, docket no. 14 in C17-1075, docket no. 16 in C17-1076). Plaintiff's counsel, however, appears to have sent such letters to defendants

21 in the five cases at issue before the Court's Minute Order was entered in the related matters. The dockets in the related matters (C17-1074, C17-1075, and C17-1076) reflect that service was generally effected

22 within two weeks after the waiver deadline. Plaintiff's request for a lengthier extension in C17-1211 and C17-1219 is therefore denied.

23

1 infringement. In the absence of a timely filed offer of proof, plaintiff's claims will be dismissed with prejudice and without costs, and these cases will be closed.

| | (4) The deadlines for defendants to file responsive pleadings or motions in | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | these cases are STRICKEN. The Court will reset such deadlines, if appropriate, after | | |
| | considering any offer of proof filed by plaintiff. | | |

4 (5) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of
5 record and to each defendant not represented by counsel at the address to which summons
6 was issued. If summons has not yet been issued with respect to particular defendants,
6 plaintiff's counsel shall provide to the Clerk, within three (3) days of the date of this
Minute Order, a list of addresses for such defendants.

Dated this 3rd day of November, 2017.

William M. McCool Clerk

| s/Karen Dews | |
|--------------|--|
| Deputy Clerk | |